1	
2	
3	NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE
4	
5	
6	PETER SCHMITT,
7	Presiding Officer
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	PUBLIC WORKS AND PARKS COMMITTEE
13	
14	
15	VINCENT MUSCARELLA, Chairman
16	, ,
17	
18	
19	
20	1550 Franklin Avenue Mineola, New York
21	
22	
23	Monday, January 9, 2012 2:17 P.M
24	
25	

1																										
2	A		Ρ		Ρ		E		A		R		Α		N		С		E	S	:					
3	V						Т			U	S	С	Α	R	Ε	L	L	Α	,							
4							m a			ъ		_				,	<u> </u>		h.	 _	·	_	 _		la .	
5	н																		b:						a	У
6	F	R	Α	N	С	Ι	S		В	E	С	K	Ε	R												
7	N	0	R	M	Α		G	C	N	S	Α	L	V	E	S											
8	D						D:		N	Ε	N	В	E	R	G	,										
9	_						n		~	7			_	_	_											
10															Ц											
11	C	А	ĸ	ĸ	1	Ľ	i	5	O	Ь	А	G	Ľ	5												
12																										
13																										
14																										
15																										
16																										
17																										
18																										
19																										
20																										
21																										
22																										
23																										
24																										

25

1	
2	LIST OF SPEAKERS
3	
4	ROB WALKER, Deputy County Executive5
5	BRIAN SCHNEIDER Nassau County Department of Public Works22
6	county Department of Fublic Works22
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Public Works and Parks Committee/1-9-1	Public	Works	and	Parks	Committee	/1-9-12
--	--------	-------	-----	-------	-----------	---------

- 2 CHAIRMAN MUSCARELLA: Calling the
- 3 Public Works and Parks Committee to order.
- 4 I am here. Subbing for Legislator Kopel is
- 5 Legislator Walker. Francis Becker?
- 6 LEGISLATOR BECKER: Present.
- 7 CHAIRMAN MUSCARELLA: Norma
- 8 Gonsalves?
- 9 LEGISLATOR GONSALVES: Present.
- 10 CHAIRMAN MUSCARELLA: David
- 11 Denenberg?
- 12 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Here.
- 13 CHAIRMAN MUSCARELLA: Joseph
- 14 Scannell?
- 15 LEGISLATOR SCANNELL: Here.
- 16 CHAIRMAN MUSCARELLA: Carrie A.
- 17 Solages?
- 18 LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Present.
- 19 CHAIRMAN MUSCARELLA: We are all
- 20 here. First I'm going to ask for a motion
- 21 to suspend the rules.
- 22 LEGISLATOR BECKER: So moved.
- 23 LEGISLATOR WALKER: Second.
- 24 CHAIRMAN MUSCARELLA: Motion by
- 25 Legislator Becker, seconded by Ro Walker.

Public Works and Parks Committee/1-9-1	Public	Works	and	Parks	Committee	/1-9-12
--	--------	-------	-----	-------	-----------	---------

- 2 All those in favor of suspending
- 3 the rules please say aye.
- 4 (Aye.)
- 5 Any opposed?
- 6 (No verbal response.)
- 7 We have two items on this
- 8 addendum calendar. The item carries
- 9 unanimously. The first is item 24 of 2012
- 10 which is a local law to amend Article 7 of
- 11 the Nassau County Charter in relation to the
- 12 establishment of a division of real estate
- 13 services within the Department of Public
- 14 Works. Motion, please.
- 15 LEGISLATOR BECKER: So moved.
- 16 LEGISLATOR GONSALVES: Second.
- 17 CHAIRMAN MUSCARELLA: Motion by
- 18 Mr. Becker, seconded by Ms. Gonsalves. May
- 19 I have someone here from the administration?
- 20 Mr. Walker, Happy New Year. Can you give us
- 21 an explanation as to what this is and why
- 22 we're doing it?
- 23 MR. WALKER: Sure. This will
- 24 allow, again, looking at mergers and better
- 25 use of personnel. This is one of several

- 2 consolidations or mergers of departments
- 3 that the county executive has put forth.
- 4 Many have been enacted by the legislature
- 5 already including human resources where
- 6 there was four mergers into one.
- 7 This, again, will take real
- 8 estate services -- if you look at what real
- 9 estate does in functioning, they work very
- 10 hand and hand with the Department of Public
- 11 Works currently in terms of managing assets.
- 12 The assets are controlled by real estate,
- 13 work and things of that nature are conducted
- 14 by public works. This will allow the merger
- 15 and the better use of personnel by which the
- 16 commissioner of public works will now have
- 17 additional staff to assist with the
- 18 day-to-day operations of public works as
- 19 well.
- 20 CHAIRMAN MUSCARELLA: Currently
- 21 the division is within the --
- 22 MR. WALKER: It's basically
- 23 almost a separate department, in essence.
- 24 It's an office of real estate services but
- 25 it functions as its own department. No

- 2 difference than the office of physically
- 3 challenged, how it was previously, so it
- 4 functions as its own office as it currently
- 5 sits.
- 6 CHAIRMAN MUSCARELLA: Under this
- 7 proposed merger or consolidation, any
- 8 financial effect on the county?
- 9 MR. WALKER: There will be
- 10 financial effect in a positive, in fact, of
- 11 dollar savings by which if any employees
- 12 leave, and now we can better utilize each
- department or current department staff, you
- 14 wouldn't have to hire new personnel or, more
- 15 importantly, you're able to do additional
- 16 work that's being done possibly by outside
- 17 contractors now or by others.
- 18 CHAIRMAN MUSCARELLA: We
- 19 currently have a commissioner or head of
- 20 this department?
- MR. WALKER: We have a director.
- 22 CHAIRMAN MUSCARELLA: A director.
- 23 What happens to that director once this
- 24 consolidation goes through?
- 25 MR. WALKER: That director will

- 2 stay as a director and will report to the
- 3 commissioner of public works.
- 4 CHAIRMAN MUSCARELLA: And
- 5 currently reporting to no one?
- 6 MR. WALKER: Currently reports to
- 7 the county executive's office.
- 8 CHAIRMAN MUSCARELLA: So, loaded
- 9 question, why would the county executive
- 10 propose this to get rid of someone who is
- 11 directly under his control and put it under
- 12 the control of another commissioner?
- MR. WALKER: Again, it saves
- 14 dollars, saves salaries if people leave. We
- 15 will not have to replace any of those staff
- 16 members. And, again, it allows some
- 17 flexibility. We have an accounting
- 18 executive in the department of planning.
- 19 It's a small budget that can be used better
- 20 off in other departments that need those
- 21 types of services and one being DPW, one of
- 22 the largest departments we have in the
- 23 county.
- 24 CHAIRMAN MUSCARELLA: Anyone have
- 25 any questions? Mr. Denenberg.

- 2 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Happy New
- 3 Year, Mr. Walker.
- 4 MR. WALKER: Likewise.
- 5 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Currently,
- 6 the division of real estate that we
- 7 currently have operates directly, you said
- 8 directly under the county executive?
- 9 MR. WALKER: Correct.
- 10 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: It's not
- 11 through the county attorney's office?
- MR. WALKER: No.
- 13 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: The number
- 14 of people that are in the division of real
- 15 estate now are how many?
- MR. WALKER: Roughly ten.
- 17 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Would that
- 18 be Mr. Schroeder and Mr. Kelly?
- MR. WALKER: Correct.
- 20 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So of the
- 21 ten people that are currently working, who's
- 22 the head of the division of real estate
- 23 right now?
- MR. WALKER: The director.
- 25 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Which is

- 2 Mr. Schroeder?
- MR. WALKER: Correct.
- 4 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: What
- 5 would happen -- all ten people now would be
- 6 under Commissioner Shah then?
- 7 MR. WALKER: Yes.
- 8 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Are we
- 9 reducing the number of people?
- MR. WALKER: No.
- 11 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So where's
- 12 the savings?
- MR. WALKER: Well, when one
- 14 person leaves you would have a reduction.
- 15 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: But why do
- 16 we have to move it over to public works in
- 17 order to do that as opposed to have it --
- 18 effectively right now I always thought it
- 19 was under the county attorney's office. I
- 20 thought we all thought that.
- MR. WALKER: It's its own
- 22 division. Basically it functions as a
- 23 department. That's why in the budget book,
- 24 if you look at the pages, it's got its own
- 25 budget, not in the county attorneys.

- 2 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: It just
- 3 seemed like every real estate contract
- 4 always went through the county attorney's
- 5 office anyway.
- 6 CHAIRMAN MUSCARELLA: I'm
- 7 informed, and I don't know if this is true,
- 8 that Mr. Suozzi broke that out of the county
- 9 attorney's office.
- 10 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: I don't
- 11 remember when. I don't remember that at
- 12 all. I don't remember whether it was
- 13 Meredith Feinman or someone, would always
- 14 come up with Mr. Schroeder and any of the
- 15 other people in that department.
- 16 CHAIRMAN MUSCARELLA: Actually,
- 17 under the current administration, Mr. Kelly
- 18 had been working under the county attorney's
- 19 office and then was moved out to work for
- 20 real estate, correct?
- MR. WALKER: He is the deputy
- 22 director of real estate.
- 23 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Before
- 24 that he had been working on real estate with
- 25 the county attorney's office.

- MR. WALKER: Okay.
- 3 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So I'm
- 4 just thinking that we already have real
- 5 estate attorneys or attorneys that work in
- 6 real estate in the county attorney's office
- 7 and we have a separate real estate
- 8 department, you're saying, and now it's
- 9 going to be brought under public works. I
- 10 don't understand the logic. You said to
- 11 save money, but if we have the same number
- 12 of people and we're only going to reduce the
- 13 number of people when people retire, we can
- 14 do that whether we merge it with public
- 15 works or keep it the way it is.
- MR. WALKER: No, you wouldn't,
- 17 because you would have to actually replace
- 18 them to do those functions. Now they will
- 19 be able to better utilize their staff that
- 20 currently exists and actually work together.
- 21 So if there's something that needs to be
- 22 done by, I gave a perfect example of the
- 23 county executive. The county executive, you
- 24 do not have a big county executive and a lot
- 25 of dollars in real estate. You have a

- 2 bigger budget in public works. Commissioner
- 3 Shah does need assistance in terms of
- 4 financials, and now you're going to have
- 5 someone that's going to be able to assist
- 6 her with financials, so you don't have to
- 7 hire someone back, someone that left on a
- 8 retirement, or you don't have to go out to
- 9 outside contractors which you discuss all
- 10 the time. This allows us greater
- 11 flexibility in the department which we think
- 12 it's best suited, in fact that you're
- 13 dealing with the assets of the county, most
- 14 of them that are run in terms of daily
- 15 operations by public works, and that's why
- 16 it's most prudent to put it there.
- 17 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Just to
- 18 take what came up today, where Mr. Schroeder
- 19 or Mr. Kelly have been here answering
- 20 questions, very well, it's each of these
- 21 real estate transactions, are typically
- 22 transactions that the expertise is legal as
- 23 well as real estate. So now if it's in
- 24 public works, then it's going to still be
- 25 going to the county attorney's office?

Public Works and Parks Committee/1-	Public	Committee/1-9-12	Parks	and	Public Works
-------------------------------------	--------	------------------	-------	-----	--------------

- 2 MR. WALKER: It doesn't go to the
- 3 county attorney's office now, it goes to
- 4 those two, Carl Schroeder and Mike Kelly who
- 5 gets up and speaks to those issues. If they
- 6 need assistance from the county attorney's
- 7 office, like every other department does,
- 8 they would still do that. They are not all
- 9 of a sudden losing their expertise by going
- 10 into a new department unless you get amnesia
- 11 when you go from one department to another.
- 12 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So then
- 13 I'm still not seeing the actual savings.
- 14 I'm just seeing it's another layer of
- 15 beauracracy --
- MR. WALKER: No, it's not. It's
- 17 decreasing.
- 18 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: -- to
- 19 speak to the county executive or to legal.
- MR. WALKER: I disagree with you.
- 21 You are actually decreasing beauracracy
- 22 because now you're going to work for one
- 23 department head and, when people do leave,
- 24 you are going to have savings and, more
- 25 importantly, like I mentioned, Sheila Shah

- 2 does need assistance. She needs assistance
- 3 in her department. She is able now to
- 4 utilizer her staff that she's going to be
- 5 getting to actually assist with her in her
- 6 daily operations instead of having to hire
- 7 people back.
- 8 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: There were
- 9 six contracts today, six alone, to outsource
- 10 public work's work because we don't have
- 11 enough people in public works to do it
- 12 whether it was design work or oversight work
- 13 for critical path management in the sewer
- 14 treatment plants, to requirements contracts,
- 15 even for traffic engineering, the Pope
- 16 contracts came back up and I wasn't even
- 17 including that.
- Now we are transferring what, to
- 19 me, and to at least some of the other
- 20 legislators up here have always felt it was
- 21 a legal aspect or a legal function for real
- 22 estate transactions to a public works
- 23 department that is outsourcing typical
- 24 public work oversight work.
- MR. WALKER: They actually do

- 2 more than that. But vote against it.
- 3 That's your prerogative, you're able to vote
- 4 against it. We believe it's a good use to
- 5 put the two departments together.
- 6 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: You know
- 7 what, the more I try to look back, I still
- 8 never knew that real estate, until Mr. Kelly
- 9 got switched over from the county attorney's
- 10 office to a division of real estate, I never
- 11 even thought that real estate was anything
- 12 separate than under the county attorney's
- 13 office. I don't think it should be and I
- 14 think this would go into a department that's
- 15 outsourcing public work's work to now be
- 16 under a public works department for what is
- 17 mostly transactional work just doesn't make
- 18 sense, but okay.
- 19 CHAIRMAN MUSCARELLA: It's my
- 20 understanding and, as I'm going along, I'm
- 21 getting some information I think that,
- 22 historically, this division has been part of
- 23 the general service department 20 or so
- 24 years ago. The general service department
- 25 has eventually become the Department of

- 2 Public Works. So I guess we're kind of
- 3 bringing it back to where it originally was.
- 4 I understand. I mean, do I think there is
- 5 going to be great savings here? No. Do I
- 6 think there will be some soft-core savings
- 7 in terms of initially moving people around
- 8 and using the expertise within the planning
- 9 department? That will probably be a
- 10 benefit.
- 11 Then when people leave, I guess
- 12 not replacing them, and having someone else
- 13 within the department that may have a
- 14 particular expertise in the planning
- 15 department cover some of these functions, or
- 16 they cover some of the planning department
- 17 functions --
- 18 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: If that's
- 19 all true, any of that could have been done
- 20 through the county attorney's office and
- 21 virtually every time we've ever had, whether
- 22 it's Norma or myself, you, calling items in
- 23 committee where the real estate department
- 24 comes up, it's almost always a legal
- 25 transactional where we go through a legal

- 2 contract and we're making sure the SEQRA
- 3 process was followed, so, to me, moving it
- 4 further away from the county attorney's
- 5 office, if anything, has a potential to
- 6 increase not decrease costs.
- 7 CHAIRMAN MUSCARELLA: What we get
- 8 here in people coming before us, whether
- 9 they are coming from the county attorney's
- 10 office or the planning department, I don't
- 11 know that it really affects us. Apparently,
- 12 on a day to day basis in terms of running
- 13 the county, that perhaps it does have an
- 14 effect in terms of whether it's under the
- 15 auspices of the planning department or
- 16 county attorney or general services or the
- 17 county executive's office.
- So I, for one, I don't know that
- 19 there is going to be any great savings or
- 20 any great detriment to doing it this way, so
- 21 I -- if we have no other questions, I will
- 22 call the question.
- 23 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Thank you,
- 24 Mr. Chairman. The irony is, eight -- I went
- 25 back through contracts of public works

- 2 outsourced today, typical work that was done
- 3 in public works, and now we are giving more
- 4 to public works and it just doesn't seem to
- 5 make sense.
- 6 MR. WALKER: I will be glad to
- 7 forward you all your votes that you approved
- 8 similar contracts for the ten years that you
- 9 were in charge of the committee, but that's
- 10 not a problem.
- 11 LEGISLATOR GONSALVES: Mr.
- 12 Denenberg, the contracts that came before us
- 13 today in the rules, the outsourcing of those
- 14 contracts was because we did not have the
- 15 expertise in house. So it's not just a
- 16 question of outsourcing arbitrarily.
- 17 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Okay.
- 18 LEGISLATOR GONSALVES: And it was
- 19 testified to today.
- 20 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: They
- 21 certainly don't, but we're just giving more
- 22 work to a department that we're outsourcing
- 23 because they don't have the expertise. I
- 24 don't see where the oversight on real estate
- 25 and transactional work is going to come from

- 2 public works, not from the county attorney.
- 3 CHAIRMAN MUSCARELLA: Perhaps by
- 4 putting it in house under that department it
- 5 makes it easier for them. I don't know.
- 6 Mr. Becker.
- 7 LEGISLATOR BECKER: I just feel
- 8 that it's much to do about nothing. If this
- 9 is the way the county executive would like
- 10 to do this and manage the departments,
- 11 that's fine with me. He believes there is a
- 12 savings. It's up to him to meet his budget
- 13 anyway.
- But, Mr. Walker, we've just had a
- 15 reduction in personnel to begin with. In
- 16 your considerations right now with the
- 17 reduction in personnel, I'm sure every
- 18 department was hit, do you have right now
- 19 people that we let go that we are not going
- 20 to have to re-rehire, is there going to be
- 21 an immediate savings right now?
- 22 MR. WALKER: It is. That's why I
- 23 mentioned about the accounting executive.
- 24 There is a long time civil service employee
- 25 member that is on the real estate budget

	Public Works and Parks Committee/1-9-12
2	that has great expertise in accounting. He
3	will be very useful in terms of providing
4	those services to the commissioner of public
5	works who did lose some
6	LEGISLATOR BECKER: So otherwise,
7	if the departments remained the same, you
8	would have had to hire somebody, correct?
9	MR. WALKER: Correct.
10	LEGISLATOR BECKER: Automatically
11	there is a savings right there.
12	MR. WALKER: I agree with you 100
13	percent.
14	LEGISLATOR BECKER: It makes
15	sense to me then.
16	CHAIRMAN MUSCARELLA: Do we have
17	any further questions?
18	(No verbal response.)
19	Is there any public comment?
20	(No verbal response.)
21	Hearing none, all those in favor
22	of passing this, signify by saying aye.
23	(Aye.)
24	Any opposed?

(Nay.)

25

- 2 Three nos. The majority in favor
- 3 and the minority against. The next item is
- 4 Item 26 of 2012 which is a resolution
- 5 authorizing the county executive to execute
- 6 an amendment to an intermunicipal agreement
- 7 with the town of North Hempstead.
- 8 LEGISLATOR GONSALVES: So moved.
- 9 LEGISLATOR BECKER: Second.
- 10 CHAIRMAN MUSCARELLA: Motion by
- 11 Norma Gonsalves, seconded by Legislator
- 12 Becker. Do we someone here to speak on
- 13 this?
- 14 MR. SCHNEIDER: Brian Schneider
- 15 from Public Works. This item is an
- 16 amendment to an existing intermunicipal
- 17 agreement with the town of North Hempstead.
- 18 We are amending this agreement to clarify
- 19 the scope of a project with the town for the
- 20 town to undertake storm water quality
- 21 improvements under the Environmental Bond
- 22 Act of 2006. The language needed to be
- 23 modified as we have done with many other
- 24 IMAs to allow the municipality to be
- 25 reimbursed for not only the purchase of the

	Public Wo	orks and Parks Committee/1-9-12
2	catch basir	n inserts but also the
3	installatio	ons.
4		CHAIRMAN MUSCARELLA: Do we have
5	any questio	
6	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	(No verbal response.)
7		Hearing none, is there any public
8	comment?	nearing none, is energe any public
	comment:	
9		(No verbal response.)
10		All those in favor, signify by
11	saying aye	•
12		(Aye.)
13		Any opposed?
14		(No verbal response.)
15		That item is unanimously
16	reported.	There is no further business
17	before us.	
18		LEGISLATOR BECKER: So moved.
19		LEGISLATOR GONSALVES: Second.
20		CHAIRMAN MUSCARELLA: Moved by
21	Legislator	Becker, seconded by Legislator
22	Gonsalves.	To adjourn, all those in favor,
23	signify by	saying aye.
24		(Aye.)

25

We are adjourned. Next up is

	Public	Works	and	Park	s	Comn	nitte	e/1-9-1	_ 2
2	health.								
3		(Wh∈	ereup	oon,	th	e Pı	ublic	Works	and
4	Parks Cor	mmittee	ad	jourr	n e d	at	2:33	p.m.)	
5									
6									
7									
8									
9									
10									
11									
12									
13									
14									
15									
16									
17									
18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									
24									
25									

1	
2	<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>
3	
4	
5	I, FRANK GRAY, a Shorthand Reporter and
6	Notary Public in and for the State of New
7	York, do hereby stated:
8	THAT I attended at the time and place
9	above mentioned and took stenographic record
10	of the proceedings in the above-entitled
11	matter;
12	THAT the foregoing transcript is a true
13	and accurate transcript of the same and the
14	whole thereof, according to the best of my
15	ability and belief.
16	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
17	my hand this, day of,
18	2012.
19	
20	
21	FRANK GRAY
22	
23	
24	
25	